



POLYESTER RESIN PLASTIC PRODUCTS FABRICATION



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☒ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

AIRS ID#: 1030384 **DATE:** 3/12/07 **ARRIVE:** 10:35 a.m. **DEPART:** 11:10 a.m.
FACILITY NAME: NOA MARINE, INC.
FACILITY LOCATION: 13030 Gandy Blvd
ST. PETERSBURG, FL 33702
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: ROBERT VINCENT **PHONE:** (727)576-9315
CONTACT NAME: ROBERT VINCENT **PHONE:**
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2007 **ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:** 5/11/2007 / 5/11/2012
(effective date) (end date)

PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☒ only one box)

☒ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

PART II: CONTROL TECHNOLOGY/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the polyester resin plastic products fabrication units and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)5.a., F.A.C.)----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Does the facility comply with the objectionable odor prohibition of subsection 62-296.320(2), F.A.C. and not cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
3. Does the combined quantity of styrene containing resin and gel-coat used exceed 76,000 pounds (38 tons) in any consecutive twelve month period? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)5.c., F.A.C.)----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
4. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the quantity of resin and gel-coat used on a monthly basis? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)5.d., F.A.C.)----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
5. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? (Chapter 62-210.300(3)(c)5.d., F.A.C.)----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
6. Is this polyester resin plastic products fabrication activity subject to a volatile organic compound (VOC) Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)5.b., F.A.C.)----- ☐ Yes ☒ No

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

1. Does the owner or operator voluntarily encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in product fabrication on methods of reducing evaporative losses by:
 - a) lessening the exposure of fresh resin surfaces to the air?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
 - b) maintaining spray lay-up equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 - c) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive resin/get coat application?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
 - d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
 - e) managing cleanup solvents?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. Does the owner or operator make every reasonable effort to conduct the specific activity authorized by the general permit in a manner that minimizes adverse effects on adjacent property or on public use of the adjacent property, where applicable, and on the environment, including fish, wildlife, natural resources, water quality, or air quality?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
3. Does the owner or operator maintain the permitted facility, emission unit, or activity in good condition?-- ☒ Yes ☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

A. New or Modified Process Equipment

1. Since the last inspection has there been
 - a) installation of any new process equipment?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
 - d) If you answered **YES** to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No

Jeff Morris

3/12/07

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

3/12/08

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: 3/12/07 - Based on an inspection by AQD, the facility failed to notify FDEP and AQD upon change of ownership. The new owner, effective, June 1, 2006, is Robert Vincent who owns Endeavour Catamaran, Inc. Therefore, the facility is in violation of failing to notify and a new GP will need to be completed by the RO. The 12-month consecutive total = 0. The facility has not performed any resin or gelcoat work in the past 24 months. The on-site inspection revealed the facility was using putty only in performing warranty work for Endeavour Catamaran. Three (3) gallon containers of putty was the only solvent on-site.[jm]